

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/657,994	. 09/08/2003	Michael W. McCarty	06005/37296	2276	
4743	7590 07/12/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300			BASTIANELLI, JOHN		
SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606		,,,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3751		

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/657,994	MCCARTY ET AL	.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Bastianelli	3751	Idua
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence ad	aress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ty. communication.
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ag 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this Nationa	l Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/20/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	⁻ O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/657,994 Page 2

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. The restriction has been waived for now although the examiner reserves the right to restrict at a later date if deemed necessary.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-11, 13-15, 17, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Roth et al. DE 199 60 330 A1 (US 6,361,018 used for translation).

Roth discloses a sleeve valve having a valve body 11, a flow passage through the valve body, the passage having a longitudinal axis; a slidable sleeve 12 received in the flow passage and movable in an axial direction between an opened and a closed position; a valve plug 13 positioned within the flow passage and arranged to cooperate with the slidable sleeve to open or close the sleeve valve; and a first end portion of the valve plug having an upstream flow directing surface (towards inlet E), the first end portion being removably mounted to a part of the valve plug within the flow passage and being replaceable with at least a second end portion removably mountable to the part of the valve plug to change at least one performance or flow characteristic of the sleeve valve. The terms "being replaceable", "can be changed", "being removably attachable", etc. are suggested use and is not given patentable weight. Inherently, the

Application/Control Number: 10/657,994

Art Unit: 3751

bolt allows for the first end to be removed therefore may be removed therefore meets the limitation of the claim. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product in the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process (see MPEP 2113). The claims are product by process and only the final product need to be shown. A valve pressure recovery performance characteristic is therefore changed. The valve plug has a body fixed in position with the end portion removable. There are a plurality of end portions with each end portion has a different size or shape with different contours. The end portions have different contoured end flanges. The flow passage is cylindrical and slidable sleeve is circular. The end portions are removably installed with a discrete threaded fastener. The method is seen as practiced by the apparatus.

4. Claims 1-6 and 8-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Hettinger US 6,116,571.

Hettinger discloses a sleeve valve (Fig. 2) having a valve body 2; a flow passage 3 through the valve body, the passage having a longitudinal axis; a slidable sleeve 19 received in the flow passage and movable in an axial direction between an opened and a closed position; a valve plug positioned within the flow passage and arranged to cooperate with the slidable sleeve to open or close the sleeve valve; and a first end portion of the valve plug having an upstream flow directing surface (seen as towards pipe section 5 as the flow may be either way), the first end portion being removably mounted to a part of the valve plug within the flow passage and being replaceable with at least a second end portion removably mountable to the part of the valve plug to change at least one performance or flow characteristic of the sleeve valve. The terms "being

Application/Control Number: 10/657,994 Page 4

Art Unit: 3751

replaceable", "can be changed", "being removably attachable", etc. are suggested use and is not given patentable weight. Inherently, the bolt allows for the first end to be removed therefore may be removed therefore meets the limitation of the claim. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product in the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process (see MPEP 2113). The claims are product by process and only the final product need to be shown. A valve pressure recovery performance characteristic is changed. The valve plug has a body fixed in position with the end portion removable. There are a plurality of end portions with each end portion has a different size or shape with different contours. The flow passage is cylindrical and slidable sleeve is circular. The end portions are removably installed with a discrete threaded fastener. The threaded stem extends from the downstream end. The method is seen as practiced by the apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Alternatively, claims 1-11, 13-15, 17, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roth et al. DE 199 60 330 A1 (US 6,361,018 used for translation) in view of Green US 2,454,160.

Art Unit: 3751

Roth lacks disclosing that a second end portion is available. Green discloses using a plurality of different valve seats 20, 70, 75, and 93 that changes a performance or flow characteristic of the valve. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a plurality of end portions as disclosed by Green in order to changes a performance or flow characteristic of the valve of Roth in order to provide more options with a single valve.

7. Alternatively, claims 1-6 and 8-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hettinger US 6,116,571 in view of Green US 2,454,160.

Hettinger lacks disclosing that a second end portion is available. Green discloses using a plurality of different valve seats 20, 70, 75, and 93 that changes a performance or flow characteristic of the valve. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a plurality of end portions as disclosed by Green in order to changes a performance or flow characteristic of the valve of Hettinger in order to provide more options with a single valve.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Samuel, Max, Robinson, Orlandi, Bircann, Pickering, and Brestel disclose removable and replaceable valves.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Bastianelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-4921. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-6:30).

Art Unit: 3751

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Bastianelli Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

J4

JB

July 9, 2005